

05042117

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

ANNUAL AUDITED REPORT FORM X-17A-5 PART III

c~1/1

OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0123
Expires: September 30, 1998

Estimated average burden hours per response . . . 12.00

SEC FILE NUMBER

8 49243

"ECEIVED"

FA	CIN	16	PA	CF.
7.41		10	T 17	·UL

Information Required of Brokers and Dealers Pursuant to Section 17 of the MAR 3 0 200. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 17a-5 Thereunder

REPORT FOR THE PERSOD	BEGINNING January 1, 2004 MM/DD/YY		MM/DD/YY
	A. REGISTRANT IDEN	TIFICATION	
NAME OF BROKER-DEALE	R: Fitzgerald Ledoux nt, Inc.	+ Company	OFFICIAL USE ONLY
•	LACE OF BUSINESS: (Do not use F	P.O. Box No.)	FIRM ID. NO.
7755 Center Ave.	Suite 1100	,	
	(No. and Street)		.
Huntington Beach	California	92647	
(City)	(State)		(Zip-Code)
NAME AND TELEPHONE N Tuyen Nguyen	IUMBER OF PERSON TO CONTAC		S REPORT (714) 777 - 112 (Area Code — Telephone No.)
	B. ACCOUNTANT IDEN		Alex Code — Telephote (Ac.)
		12.22.23.22.23.1	
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC AC	COUNTANT whose opinion is contain	ned in this Report*	
	-	ned in this Report*	
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC AC	-	_	
	Accountancy Corporation (Name - if individual, state last, flu	_	9132
Kevin G. Breard, CPA An	Accountancy Corporation (Name - if individual, state last, flu	st, middle namej	9132 PROCESSED
Kevin G. Breard, CPA An 9010 Corbin Avenue, Suite (Address) CHECK UNE:	Accountancy Corporation (Name - if individual, state last, fit 7 Northridge (City)	si, muddle name) California	PROCESSED
Kevin G. Breard, CPA An 9010 Corbin Avenue, Suite (Address) CHECK UNE: CHECK UNE:	Accountancy Corporation (Name — if individual, state last, fla 7 Northridge (Gity)	si, muddle name) California	9132 PRO CESSED APR 0 ⁻⁷ 2005
Kevin G. Breard, CPA An 9010 Corbin Avenue, Suite (Addres) CHECK UNE: Certified Public Accountant	Accountancy Corporation (Name — if individual, state last, fla 7 Northridge (Gity)	California (State)	PROCESSED® APR 0 7 2005 COMSON

*Claims for exemption from the requirement that the annual report be covered by the opinion of an independent public accountant must be supported by a statement of facts and circumstances relied on as the basis for the exemption. See section 240.17a-5(e)(2).

SEC 1410 (3-91)

Notestial persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid CMB control number.



KA 4/8

OATH OR AFFIRMATION

I	Tuyen Nguyen	, swear (or affirm) that, to the
best	of my knowledge and belief the accompanying	financial statement and supporting schedules pertaining to the firm of
	Fidelity Asset Management, Inc.	, as of
	December 31 2004, are t	rue and correct. I further swear (or affirm) that neither the company
		ctor has any proprietary interest in any account classified soley as that of
Co Su	bscribed and sworn for affirmed) to before this 13 day of 1000, 2005	Signature John Title
	Notary Public	
Thi	s report** contains (check all applicable boxes): (a) Facing page.	C. SMOLSKIS COMM # 1348342 MOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA ORANGE COUNTY My Commission Explires March 11, 2006
×	(b) Statement of Financial Condition.	
Ø	(c) Statement of Income (Loss).	
M	(d) Statement of Changes in Financial Condition	
X	(e) Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equ	
	(f) Statement of Changes in Liabilities Subord(g) Computation of Net Capital	mated to Claims of Creditors.
×	(h) Computation for Determination of Reserve	Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3.
\boxtimes	(i) Information Relating to the Possession or	
		lanation, of the Computation of Net Capital Under Rule 15c3-1 and the
		erve Requirements Under Exhibit A of Rule 15c3-3. audited Statements of Financial Condition with respect to methods of con-
	solidation.	and the second of the second conductor with respect to memous of con-
X	(l) An Oath or Affirmation.	
	(m) A copy of the SIPC Supplemental Report.	
	(n) A report describing any material inadequacie	s found to exist or found to have existed since the date of the previous audit.

^{**}For conditions of confidential treatment of certain portions of this filing, see section 240.17a-5(e)(3).



Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Directors Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company

I have audited the accompanying statement of financial condition of Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company as of December 31, 2004 and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholder's equity and cash flows for the year then ended that you are filing pursuant to rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. My responsibility is to express an opinion on these statements based on my audit.

I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. I believe that my audit provides a reasonable basis for my opinion.

In my opinion, the financial statement referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company as of December 31, 2004 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

My examination was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The information contained on Schedules I-III are presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not required as part of the basic financial statements, but as supplementary information required by rule 17a-5 of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such information has been subject to the auditing procedures applied in the examination of the basic financial statements and, in my opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole and in conformity with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Kevin G. Breard

Certified Public Accountant

Northridge, California January 21, 2005

> NORTHRIDGE OFFICE PLAZA 9010 CORBIN AVENUE, SUITE 7 NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA 91324 (818) 886-0940 · FAX (818) 886-1924 Breard CPA@aol.com

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Statement of Financial Condition December 31, 2004

Assets

Cash and cash equivalents Receivables from clearing firm Deposits held at clearing firm Prepaid expenses Securities, not readily marketable Total assets	\$ 	2,613 8,188 37,141 748 1,650
Total assets	2	50,340
Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	8,383
Payable to brokers and dealers		2,484
Income taxes payable		800
Total liabilities		11,667
Stockholder's equity		
Common stock, no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized,		
99 shares issued and outstanding		22,000
Additional paid-in capital		35,000
Accumulated deficit		(18,327)
Total stockholder's equity		38,673
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity	<u>\$</u>	50,340

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Statement of Operations For the year ended December 31, 2004

Revenue

Commissions income	\$	67,913
Interest income		432
Gain (loss) on securities, not readily marketable		(825)
Other income		30,444
Total revenue		97,964
Expenses		
Commissions and floor brokerage		57,860
Communications		109
Management fee - related party		36,831
Other operating expenses		13,343
Total expenses		108,143
Income (loss) before income tax provision		(10,179)
Income tax provision		800
Net income (loss)	<u>\$</u>	(10,979)

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Statement of Changes in Stockholder's Equity For the year ended December 31, 2004

	C	ommon Stock]	lditional Paid-in Capital	Accu	mulated Deficit	***************************************	Total
Balance on January 1, 2004	\$	22,000	\$	25,000	\$	(7,348)	\$	39,652
Proceed from additional paid-in capital				10,000		_		10,000
Net income (loss)					_	(10,979)		(10,979)
Balance on December 31, 2004	<u>\$</u>	22,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	(18,327)	<u>\$</u>	38,673

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Statement of Cash Flows For the year ended December 31, 2004

Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income (loss)				\$	(10,979)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash and ca	sh				
equivalents provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Gain (loss) on securities, not readily marketable	\$		825		
(Increase) decrease in:					
Receivables from clearing firm		(1,	672)		
Deposits held at clearing firm		(109)		
(Decrease) increase in:					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		1,	550		
Payable to brokers and dealers		2,	484		
Income tax payable			<u>348 </u>		
Total adjustments					3,426
Net cash and cash equivalents provided by (used in) operating	acti	vitie	c		(7,553)
The cash and easil equivalents provided by (ased in) operating	5 acti	V 1110	3		(7,555)
Cash flows from investing activities:					_
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Proceed from additional paid-in capital		10	000		
1 100000 Hom additional para in capital		10,	000		
Net cash and cash equivalents provided by (used in) financing	g acti	vitie	S		10,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents					2,447
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents					2,447
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year					166
				Ф	2 (12
Cash and cash equivalent at end of year				<u>\$</u>	2,613
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:					
Cash paid during the year for					
Interest	\$		_		
Income taxes	\$		_		

Note 1: GENERAL AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company (the "Company"), was originally incorporated under the name "Capital One Markets" on April 10, 1996. On July 1, 1997 the Company changed its name to Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company. In late 1997, the Company filed form BD to change its name to Fidelity Asset Management, Inc. The State of California discovered a name conflict and did not allow the Company to change its name. The NASD did approve the use of Fidelity Asset Management, Inc. as a "Doing Business As" ("DBA") for the Company. The Company is a fully disclosed broker/dealer whereby it does not hold customer funds or securities. The Company is a member of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC").

The Company has about three hundred and sixty (360) accounts. The majority of the accounts are in Southern California: Los Angeles and Orange County. An undue concentration does not appear to exist for any of these accounts.

Summary of Significant Accounting Principles

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

For purposes relating to the statement of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Commission receivables are stated at face amount with no allowance for doubtful accounts. An allowance for doubtful accounts is not considered necessary because probable uncollectible accounts are immaterial.

The Company enjoys the benefits of facilities and utilities provided at no charge by the Stockholder's other business. No amounts have been imputed to these financial statements for these benefits.

Securities transactions and related commission revenues and expenses are recorded on a trade date basis

Other income includes rebates from the clearing firm, such as postage rebates.

Note 2: SECURITIES, NOT READILY MARKETABLE

Securities, not readily marketable consist of 300 warrants in the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., these securities were offered primarily to NASD members and purchased through a Private Placement Memorandum. The warrants are exercisable in four tranches over four years. The first and second tranches have expired. The Company has the remaining options to exercise in the following tranches;

		Exercisable on	Expires on	Exercise Price
Tranche 3	300 shares	June 28, 2004	June 27, 2005	\$ 15.00
Tranche 4	300 shares	June 28, 2005	June 27, 2006	\$ 16.00

The Company is carrying these warrants at their amortized cost of \$1,650.

Note 3: **INCOME TAXES**

The income tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2004 consists of the California Franchise Tax Board minimum tax of \$800.

The Company has available at December 31, 2004, unused operating loss carry-forwards, which may be applied against future taxable income, resulting in a deferred tax asset of approximately \$3,539, that expires as follows:

Amount of unused operating	Expiration during year ended
loss carry-forwards	December 31,
<u>\$ 23,590</u>	2023
<u>\$ 23,590</u>	

A 100% valuation allowance has been established against this asset since management cannot determine if it is more likely than not that the asset will be realized.

Note 4: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2004, the Company paid another company, related by common control, \$38,855 for management and administrative fees.

Note 5: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

During December, 2004, the Company entered into negotiations to transfer 100% of its ownership interest to an outside party. This transfer of ownership is pending and is subject to the NASD's approval as of December 31, 2004.

Note 6: <u>RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS</u>

In January 2003, The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FIN 46"). This interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, requires companies to consolidate the operations of all variable interest entities ("VIE's") for which they are the primary beneficiary. The term "primary beneficiary" is defined as the entity that will absorb a majority of expected losses, receive a majority of the expected residual returns, or both. This interpretation was later revised by the issuance of Interpretation No. 46R ("FIN 46R"). The revision was issued to address certain implementation issues that had arisen since the issuance of the original interpretation and to provide companies with the ability to defer the adoption of FIN 46 to periods after March 15, 2004. The implementation of FIN No. 46 and FIN 46R, had no material impact on the Company's financial statements.

On July 16, 2004, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") consensus on Issue 02-14, "Whether the Equity Method of Accounting Applies When an Investor Does Not Have an Investment in Voting Stock of an Investee but Exercises Significant Influence through Other Means" ("EITF 02-14"). The consensus concludes that an investor should apply the equity method of accounting when it can exercise significant influence over an entity through a means other than holding voting rights. The consensus is effective for reporting periods beginning after September 15, 2004. The adoption of EITF 02-14 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

On December 16, 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("FASB 123R"), which addresses the accounting for employee stock options. FASB 123R requires that the cost of all employee stock options, as well as other equity-based compensation arrangements, be reflected in the financial statements based on the estimated fair value of the awards. Stock options are a valuable and important tool that have been used by many companies as a means to motivate employees and to promote business growth. The statement requires that the value of these arrangements be measured and recognized in the financial statements. FASB 123R becomes effective for reports filed after June 15, 2005. Early adoption of FASB 123R had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Note 7: <u>NET CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS</u>

The Company is subject to the Securities and Exchange Commission Uniform Net Capital Rule (SEC rule 15c3-1), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and requires that the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital, both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1. Net capital and aggregate indebtedness change day to day, but on December 31, 2004, the Company had net capital of \$35,081, which was \$30,081 in excess of its required net capital of \$5,000; and the Company's ratio of aggregate indebtedness (\$11,667) to net capital was 0.33 to 1, which is less than the 15 to 1 maximum ratio allowed for a broker/dealer.

Note 8: RECONCILIATION OF AUDITED NET CAPITAL TO UNAUDITED FOCUS

There is a difference between the computation of net capital under net capital Sec. Rule 15c3-1 and the corresponding unaudited focus part IIA.

Net capital per unaudited schedule		\$	34,944
Adjustments:			
Non-allowable assets	\$ 1,857		
Accumulated deficit	(1,199)		
Haircuts on money market funds	 (521)		
Total adjustments			137
Net capital per audited statements		<u>\$</u>	35,081

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Schedule I - Computation of Net Capital Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-1 As of December 31, 2004

Computation of net capital

Common stock Additional paid-in capital Accumulated deficit Total stockholder's equity	\$ 22,000 35,000 (18,327) \$	38,673
Less: Non allowable assets Commission receivable Prepaid expenses Securities, not readily marketable Total adjustments Net capital before haircuts	(673) (748) (1,650)	(3,071) 35,602
Less: Haircuts Haircuts on money market funds Total Haircuts Net Capital	(521)	(521) 35,081
Computation of net capital requirements Minimum net capital requirements 6 2/3 percent of net aggregate indebtedness Minimum dollar net capital required Net capital required (greater of above) Excess net capital	\$ 778 5,000 \$	5,000 30,081
Ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital	0.33: 1	

There was a \$137 difference between net capital shown here and net capital as reported on the Company's unaudited Form X-17A-5 report dated December 31, 2004 (See Note 8).

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Schedule II - Computation for Determination of Reserve Requirements Pursuant to Rule 15c3-3 As of December 31, 2004

A computation of reserve requirement is not applicable to Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company as the Company qualifies for exemption under Rule 15c3-3 (k)(2)(ii).

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company Schedule III - Information Relating to Possession or Control Requirements Under Rule 15c3-3 As of December 31, 2004

Information relating to possession or control requirements is not applicable to Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company as the Company qualifies for exemption under Rule 15c3-3 (k)(2)(ii).

See independent auditor's report.

Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company

Supplementary Accountant's Report

on Internal Accounting Control

Report Pursuant to 17a-5

For the Year Ended December 31, 2004



Board of Directors
Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements and supplemental schedules of Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company for the year ended December 31, 2004, I considered its internal control structure, for the purpose for safeguarding securities, in order to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing my opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

Also, as required by rule 17a-5(g)(1) of the Securities and Exchange Commission, I have made a study of the practices and procedures followed by Fitzgerald LeDoux & Company including tests of such practices and procedures that I considered relevant to objectives stated in rule 17a-5(g), in making the periodic computations of aggregate indebtedness (or aggregate debits) and net capital under rule 17a-3(a)(11) and for determining compliance with the exemptive provisions of rule 15c3-3. Because the Company does not carry security accounts for customers or perform custodial functions relating to customer securities, I did not review the practices and procedures followed by the Company in any of the following:

- 1. Making the quarterly securities examinations, counts, verifications and comparisons
- 2. Recordation of differences required by rule 17a-13
- 3. Complying with the requirements for prompt payment for securities under Section 8 of Federal Reserve Regulation T of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control structure and the practices and procedures referred to in the preceding paragraph. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgements by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control structure policies and procedures and of the practices and procedures referred to in the proceeding paragraph and to assess whether those practices and procedures can be expected to achieve the Commission's above mentioned objectives. Two of the objectives of an internal control structure and the practices and procedures are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets for which the Company has responsibility are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rule 17-5(g) lists additional objectives of the practices and procedures listed in the preceding paragraph.

NORTHRIDGE ÓFFICE PLAZA 9010 CORBIN AVENUE, SUITE 7 NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA 91324 (818) 886-0940 · FAX (818) 886-1924 Breard CPA @ a o I. c o m Because of inherent limitations in any internal control structure or the practices and procedures referred to above, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of them to future periods is subject to the risk they may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of their design and operation may deteriorate.

My consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a condition in which design or operation of the specific internal control structure elements does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. However, I noted no matters involving the internal control structure, including procedures for safeguarding securities, that I considered to be material weakness as defined above.

I understand that practices and procedures that accomplish the objectives referred to in the second paragraph of this report are considered by the Commission to be adequate for its purpose in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and related regulations, and that practices and procedures that do not accomplish such objectives in all material respects indicate material inadequacy for such purposes. Based on this understanding on my study, I believe that the Company's practices and procedures were adequate at December 31, 2004 to meet the Commission's objectives.

This report is intended solely for the use of management, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other regulatory agencies which rely on rule 17a-5(g) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and should not be used for any other purpose.

Kevin G. Breard

Certified Public Accountant

Northridge, California January 21, 2005